



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

[Since the foregoing was put in type the editors have called my attention to the fact that the same construction was given by Irwin and Wright in the *Annals of Mathematics*, Vol. 19 (1917), pp. 157-158.]

III. RELATING TO THE SELECTION OF MATERIAL FOR CLASS REVIEWS.

By G. R. CLEMENTS, United States Naval Academy.

I would like to suggest as a topic for discussion in the MONTHLY the best use that can be made of the time available for reviews in courses in mathematics.

It seems to me that the best test of a student's mental capacity and of his mastery of a particular course of study in mathematics is his ability to take a pertinent problem and analyze it to see what principles are involved, to select for its solution the most suitable tools from those he has been accumulating during his term's work and to use them intelligently to derive and discuss the results that must follow from his data. And I know of no better way to help a student to correlate his material and get a comprehensive grasp of it than to set him to work at the end of a course on a list of problems carefully selected but *not* closely graded.

When I was teaching at the University of Wisconsin, we secured better results than we had previously obtained in the course in mathematical theory of investments by going rather quickly through the course and then spending a considerable amount of time on just such a list of problems, where the student could have no idea in advance as to whether the solution of a particular problem involved the principles of the first chapter of his text, or of the last chapter, or of both. I have followed a somewhat similar course in analytic geometry, assigning twice each term a list of problems from outside the text (and regarded as somewhat difficult by the students), solutions to be handed in at the end of two weeks, the daily work being somewhat lightened in the meantime.

The increasing list of separate problem texts and the number of texts that have considerable lists of general problems as an appendix would seem to make such a plan rather easy of introduction so far as the mere selection of material is concerned. For example, Miller and Lilly's *Analytic Mechanics* has a very considerable list of miscellaneous problems, and I can think of no better way of reviewing the subject, if that book is being used as a text, than to set the students to mastering a selected portion of this list.

I believe the criticisms that we ourselves and our colleagues in allied departments make of the results of our teaching would be considerably softened if we could conclude each of our courses by going over its subject matter a last time in some such manner as I have suggested.